


Dear Senator Sinodinos,

Recently we viewed Senator Malcolm Roberts requesting the government, via you, to explain why it has not practiced due diligence re an investigation into the CSIRO data management practices. [bit.ly/CSIRODUE](http://bit.ly/CSIRODUE). As a result of this lack of due diligence the Turnbull government has wasted \$billions of tax payers money by basing policies on non evidenced based 'science'.

We note that you rebutted Senator Roberts request. At three to four minutes in you state that you don't have the time to do a full costs benefits analysis. Why do you not have the time to conduct such an important analysis? Surely you could have found time to do that before you committed Australia's taxpayers money to an International agreement in Paris December 2016?

During your rebuttal you stressed the lack of evidence and requested people to send int to you if they could. [Attachment 1](#)



is a screenshot of the number of times Dr Curtis and I have tried to send you the empirical evidence. It is fairly obvious from this record that you have never looked at it. For, if you had, then being the intelligent, openminded politician we hope you are, you would have set aside your preconceptions and focussed on it.

During your rebuttals you stated “ I reject the view that there is some vast global conspiracy” . Also you stated “I will go with the overall preponderance of the science”. Having researched the evidence over a number of years Dr

Curtis and I have formed the opinion that whatever the climate is or is not doing, there is a politically driven global funding scam occurring. It is focussed on the demonisation of carbon di-oxide. This is not conspiracy theory, it is history. Look at [Attachment 2](#)

# The Different Faces of Institutionalised Lysenkoism

***The Evidence indicates that Lysenkoism goes with fabricated data. Both are a form of FRAUD***

The insidious rise of Trofim Lysenko 3 min video grab

The insidious rise of Modern Lysenkoism  
(one page easy read)

Lysenko and his supporters faked their data  
(word search on 'fake')

***Who has faked their data in recent times?***

NOAA and NASA?  
(scroll down to second & third graphs)

AUSTRALIAN BUREAU OF METEOROLOGY?  
4 min video                      Poster                      Another Poster

***Who has deliberately deceived by omission lately?***

IPCC ?

pseudo-scientists ?

Table 3 Disappeared from  
IPCC Website. WHY?

Word Search '22' for the  
explanation for Table 3's  
disappearance

If Looking at the paper version go here [bit.ly/tgm-j](http://bit.ly/tgm-j) & scroll down for Click on Links Poster.

which is a one page poster designed for busy people like you. It addresses the Lysenko pseudo science scam in Russia and its modern day equivalent.

[Past Newspaper reports](#) evidence how the current oxymoronic, non-testable hypothesis 'Climate Change' morphed from global cooling in the 70's to global warming in the 90's.

Below are more links and attachments to short easy to read evidence that reveals the duration and extent of the current academic funding scam.

[Link 1](#) Where the American Meteorological Society states that the Greenhouse Hypothesis is no more than a myth

[Link 2](#) The 2009 False Consensus. All subsequent consensus measures are also false.

[Link 3](#) Where the pseudo scientists openly discuss how to hide the Medieval warming period.

[Link 4](#) The Rutherglen poster. If you follow the link to Karoly you will see what in our humble opinion is robust evidence of Academic fraud. We do not use this word lightly and we request that you or Professor Karoly correct us if you think we are mistaken. We are prepared to publicly apologise if we are wrong and therefore casting unfounded or false assertions.

[Link 5](#) Historical evidence that refutes the Australian Bureau of Meteorology's (BOM) claims of current hottest years ever.

[Link 6](#) The left hand panel is obviously fake data compared with the right hand panel. This is analogous to you Senator Sinodinos wearing the same clothes as you are wearing today on the same day over subsequent years for decades. Do you agree that it is impossible to pull off this feat for any given day over subsequent years?

[Link 7](#) What appears to be fabricated data again by the BOM.

There are many more examples we can show you of what appears to be academic fraud. It is a pity that you were too busy to accept Senator Roberts' invitation to the seminar on the evening following your senate discussion. International Experts were there and could have provided you with this and so much more empirical evidence regarding the global dimensions of the politically driven academic funding fraud. However, we have enclosed a summary of Senator Roberts' empirical evidence for when you have more time to review it.

=====

Senator Roberts' personal report in response to CSIRO, 07 November 2016:

[http://citizensinquiry.info/MalcolmRoberts/CSIRO\\_Meeting\\_2016/CSIRO-LacksEmpiricalProof.pdf](http://citizensinquiry.info/MalcolmRoberts/CSIRO_Meeting_2016/CSIRO-LacksEmpiricalProof.pdf)

Executive summary page 9

Temperature page 17 (17-22)

CO2 on page 23 (23&24)

Supposed “greenhouse effect” page 24 (24&25)

Computerised numerical models page 25 (25-27)

Summary of temperature and CO2 page 27 bottom (27&28)

Factual drivers of temperature variability and climate variability page 29

Motives, methods, money driving misrepresentation of climate science page 32 (32-35)

Conclusion page 36 (36&37)

Empirical data compiled in response to CSIRO’s claims made in its presentation to Senator Malcolm Roberts and the basis for Senator Roberts’ response:

<https://checkvist.com/checklists/583700>

CSIRO’s presentation to Senator Roberts:

[http://citizensinquiry.info/MalcolmRoberts/CSIRO\\_Meeting\\_2016/CSIRO-Presentation.pdf](http://citizensinquiry.info/MalcolmRoberts/CSIRO_Meeting_2016/CSIRO-Presentation.pdf)

Although this was recorded on video and audio with CSIRO’s permission, it was done so on the basis that recordings would not be publicly released.

Media conference video, 07 November 2016 in Australia’s parliament house with climate researchers from America, Australia and Canada:

<http://www.senatormalcolmroberts.com.au/csiro-report>

Executive summary page 9

Temperature page 17 (17-22)

CO2 on page 23 (23&24)

Supposed “greenhouse effect” page 24 (24&25)

Computerised numerical models page 25 (25-27)

Summary of temperature and CO2 page 27 bottom (27&28)

Factual drivers of temperature variability and climate variability page 29

Motives, methods, money driving misrepresentation of climate science page 32 (32-35)

Conclusion page 36 (36&37)

Other pages with empirical evidence:

Empirical evidence summarised on pages 3&4 of my letter to my federal MP:

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/docs/20160412/JanePrenticeApril2016.pdf>

My qualifications are on pages 1&2

Supporting material here:

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>

Report to two Parliamentary Secretaries to the Minister for the Environment Senator Simon Birmingham and Bob Baldwin MP in response to a letter from Senator Birmingham:

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/SBbboh.html>

Summaries of the empirical evidence, misrepresentation of climate and science by taxpayer-funded agencies and the politically driven UN IPCC, the context driving the misrepresentation of climate

Summary of the empirical evidence and quotes from UN IPCC on the failure of its own climate computer models:

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/docs/20151012/4.4Empirical.pdf>

It was tabled as part of these complaints:

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/empiricalah.html>

Report into CSIRO in response to request from ABC reporter Steve Austin:

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

Appendices detail CSIRO, Bureau of Meteorology, empirical evidence, climate academics misrepresenting climate and science, UN IPCC, Al Gore, claims of consensus, prominent politicians, universities making money from misrepresenting climate, motives, nongovernment agencies as activists, impacts of misrepresenting climate, taxpayer-funded ABC misrepresenting climate, supposed greenhouse effect, ...

Some of Malcolm Roberts' work on climate:

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au>

Includes investigation of the claims of prominent academics contradicting empirical evidence to advocate climate alarm

The Eco-Fraud, timeline of international climate fraud:

[http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/\\_\\_\\_documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud\\_part%201.pdf](http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/___documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud_part%201.pdf)

Shows how climate became an issue and how politicians and UN bureaucrats aided by a small team of academics funded through the UN misrepresented climate and captured the media

Some victims and environmental casualties of the UN's organised climate fraud:

[http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/\\_\\_\\_documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud\\_Part%202.pdf](http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/___documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud_Part%202.pdf)

Black deaths in green custody:

[http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/\\_\\_\\_documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud\\_Part%203.pdf](http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/___documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud_Part%203.pdf)

Hydrocarbons, the weakness of industry executives, corruption of nongovernment bodies and activists funded by American billionaires including those now owning large American coal producers:

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/coaloh.html>

Ernst Georg Beck - one of his peer-reviewed papers:

[http://www.biomind.de/nogreenhouse/daten/EE%2018-2\\_Beck.pdf](http://www.biomind.de/nogreenhouse/daten/EE%2018-2_Beck.pdf)

Note: 1820's, 1940's higher than 0.04% CO<sub>2</sub>

Note Figure 5, summer 1940

Tim Ball's summary and comments on Ernst Georg Beck's work and attempts by the climate industry to suppress it:

<http://drtimball.com/2011/ernst-georg-beck-a-major-contributor-to-climate-science-effectively-sidelined-by-climate-deceivers/>

Ernst Georg Beck discussion paper:

<http://www.biomind.de/realCO2/literature/evidence-var-corrRSCb.pdf>

Facts on CO<sub>2</sub> and UN IPCC admitting it does not classify CO<sub>2</sub> as a pollutant:

[http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/galileodocuments/CO2\\_withquestions.pdf](http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/galileodocuments/CO2_withquestions.pdf)

My declaration of personal interests, available publicly since publication of my first document in 2009:

[http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/\\_\\_\\_documents/additional%20material/Personal%20declaration%20of%20interests.pdf](http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/___documents/additional%20material/Personal%20declaration%20of%20interests.pdf)

Note that despite my many requests of climate academics advocating cutting CO<sub>2</sub> none will disclose their personal financial interests.

2-page summary of Al Gore's tricks used to fraudulently mislead the public and governments into adopting his Chicago Climate Exchange that would enable him to earn hundreds of millions of dollars, if not billions of dollars:



[http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/\\_\\_\\_documents/additional%20material/AI%20Gore%20movie%20tricks.pdf](http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/___documents/additional%20material/AI%20Gore%20movie%20tricks.pdf)

For more on AI Gore see Appendix 3 of my CSIROh! report:

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

=====  
In closing if there is anything you think we have stated which is untrue please respond and we will investigate and publicly correct. [Fraud](#) is an indictable crime, as is [Wilful Blindness](#). We will also send this letter to you via registered mail as you do not seem to respond to emails.

Respectfully Yours

Dr Judy Ryan

Dr Marjorie Curtis

Members: World Wide Web of Independent Scientists

Affiliated with

Dr David Evans

Perth

Principia Scientific International

John Osullivan

Britain

The Carbon Sense Coalition

Qld

The Climate Realists of Five Dock

Sydney

The Climate Sceptics

NSW

The Galileo Movement

Qld

The Sensible Environmentalist

Dr Patrick Moore

Canada

US

Mexico

*As scientists, we question each other on everything. But we are united on one thing. There is a global pseudo-science based funding scam occurring in the discipline of Meteorology*