

SENATOR MALCOLM ROBERTS FINALLY OPENS THE PUBLIC DEBATE ABOUT THE UN AGENDA THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA HAS REFUSED TO DISCUSS FOR 2 DECADES

Graham Williamson
September 2016

One Nation Senator Malcolm Roberts, in a remarkable prelude to his parliamentary career, has already succeeded in lifting the mainstream media censored subject of Agenda 21 into the mainstream media. For more than 2 decades, since the UN Agenda 21 program [was introduced to Parliament in 1992](#), the mainstream media has pretended Agenda 21 does not exist, even labelling the UN program introduced by [Paul Keating](#) as some kind of weird conspiracy. Now however, even before Senator Roberts maiden parliamentary speech, News limited journalist Malcolm Farr has finally decided to mention the previously unmentionable UN program in an article entitled "[Can One Nation's Malcolm Roberts convince voters of a peril few believe exists](#)".

Has the tide turned? Is the mainstream media finally blowing the lid on this taboo subject after more than 20 years pretending Agenda 21 does not exist?

Before getting ahead of ourselves it should be noted that the mainstream media typically resents being 'scooped' and humiliated by politicians and internet media outlets. According to Farr:

"Mr Roberts' most significant problem will be the fact a majority Australians are not aware of any such Agenda 21 peril and it could require great effort to convince them it exists."

A laughable response given the fact that the mainstream media has ignored Agenda 21 for more than 20 years. Malcolm Farr has had many opportunities to expose the truth and ensure the Australian people were not misled about Agenda 21 during [his 22 years working as a journalist in Canberra](#). For 24 years, if you wished to know the truth about Agenda 21 the last place to look would be the mainstream media!

Seems Malcolm Farr forgot to tell the public the [Australian government admits on their web site](#), "*Australia's commitment to Agenda 21 is reflected in a strong national response to meet our obligations under this international agreement.*" And did Malcolm Farr and his media colleagues tell the Australian people, according to the Australian government's "[Road to Rio+20](#)" [fact sheet](#), that they have been legislating to enforce the sustainability dictates of the UN upon all Australians?

"Australia has participated in sustainable development discussions for more than four decades. We have signed international treaties, supported regional initiatives and enacted international commitments through new laws and policies at home"

Farr, now seemingly an authority on the UN Agenda 21 he failed to explain to the people for an astonishing 2 decades, continues to ridicule Senator Roberts claims:

"One Nation believes this at its peak will involve eliminating your right to private ownership (property rights)"

Of course many rural residents are well aware of the sterilisation of property rights by onerous native vegetation and land use restrictions which have been sweeping the country in line with the dictates of Agenda 21. Far from being an issue worthy of mirth and ridicule, this government sterilisation of property rights has caused widespread community anger, [culminating in the recent tragic death of Environmental Officer Glen Turner](#).

[Moree Plains Shire Mayor Katrina Humphries](#), in commenting upon this tragic incident, summed up the divisive undemocratic anti-Australian political policies which continue to target landholders and infringe land use and property rights:

"The frustration that people have been pushed to under the likes of the Water Act, coal seam gas, mining, native vegetation - something like this was going to happen."

[According to Deputy Prime Minister & Minister for Agriculture Barnaby Joyce:](#)

"You have this crazy situation where you don't own the vegetation on your land, the state government does, and many people have had enough."

These land use restrictions have been driven undemocratically by the UN, with the support of our politicians, Australian voters having been denied any democratic choice by successive governments. As has been noted by Flint & Martinkovitis ([Give Us Back Our Country](#) 2014, p 63-4):

"the sweeping disregard for property rights particularly affects the nation's primary producers and those with tracts of non-urban land. Indeed, it seems especially aimed at them.....in a number of other ways, legislation has been introduced which renders private property less useful and less valuable than it otherwise would have been.....this is being done by politicians, many of whom are selected by the traditional powerbrokers, and who act in accordance with the agenda of the elites."

Sadly it is true, to some, loss of property rights is little more than a joke.

But there are many who still care about open debate, democracy and truth. And many still care about Australia, and the defence of property rights and freedoms.

Let the public debate begin!

And let those who detest truth and open debate resort to their campaign of intolerance, personal attacks and vilification, as they feel the need.